

# COMMISSION FOR POSTAL HISTORY

## NEWSLETTER



OCTOBER  
2008

This is our last NEWSLETTER .  
For contact please visit our website at [www.fippostalhistory.com](http://www.fippostalhistory.com)

---

### **FROM THE CHAIRMAN'S DESK**

KURT KIMMEL-LAMPART

Yes – this is our last newsletter. Thanks to our Australian Bureau member Malcolm Groom we are in a position to communicate with you by Internet. Our website [www.fippostalhistory.com](http://www.fippostalhistory.com) is ready to go public! If you have access to Internet or if you do not have access to Internet please find a friend who has and please communicate your/his email address (and future changes) to us as follows:

If you live in the FEPA (Europe) area: [perfriismortensen@yahoo.com](mailto:perfriismortensen@yahoo.com)

If you live in the FIAP (Asia,Australia) area: [amtc01@netvigator.com](mailto:amtc01@netvigator.com)

If you live in the FIAF (Americas): [dilaeaton@gmail.com](mailto:dilaeaton@gmail.com)



*The new Chairman Kurt Kimmel speaking to the delegates at the Postal History Commission meeting in Bucharest, with Dr. Andrew Cheung at the table. Photo by Michael Lin Mao Hsin, Taiwan*

with a cc to our Secretary [jjad@rogers.com](mailto:jjad@rogers.com) and to me [kurt.kimmel@arvest.ch](mailto:kurt.kimmel@arvest.ch) so that we can keep you informed.

In future we shall put all our news on our website. In addition we intend to send our "Press releases" by email to the media and to FIP so that these can be published in the FIP Flash. Your questions will be answered on our website if most of our Bureau members consider them of general interest and importance. We work on a honorary basis and try to do our best, however, please understand that we have something else to do in order to earn a living. Therefore, we cannot answer all the emails and cannot maintain a discussion forum on our website.

We introduced also a site on our website called "Cover watch". Please consider our disclaimer from which you learn that we shall publish your contributions with your name as responsible party. With other words we are not in a position to check if your statements are correct or not and our webmaster shall put them on our website as received.

The new regulations (SREV) and guidelines for Postal History were approved at the 70<sup>th</sup> FIP Congress in Bucharest. At the 2004 meeting in Singapore these ideas were initially presented to the delegates of the FIP Commission for Postal History and were unanimously approved. During the years 2004-2007 these regulations were drafted and circulated in order to include as many opinions as possible so that in April 2008 the final version could be submitted to the FIP Board. These new regulations for Postal History will be valid as of January 1, 2009.

What are the main changes?

It started in 1998 with a request from the Federation in New Zealand to include "Social Philately" in the Postal History Class. These exhibits are very popular in Australia and New Zealand. Such exhibits show the interaction of commerce and society with the postal system.

It is impossible to achieve this using only covers which are acceptable in Postal History. Therefore, it was obvious that these exhibits will contain also related non-philatelic material with the condition that this has to be related to shown philatelic items and that it should not overwhelm the philatelic material.

At the beginning this resulted in sceptical or even adverse reactions. However, the Chairman of this Commission, Leo De Clercq, pointed out that originally in the first regulations for Postal History it was permitted to include such material, but has been diluted by the phrase "if absolutely necessary" with the result that more and more shared the mistaken opinion that the inclusion of related non-philatelic material was forbidden.

At the same time the demand to have an "Open Class" spread so that new collectors could be attracted to exhibiting by allowing them more liberty in choosing material to include in their exhibits. We felt that it does not make much sense to be very restrictive with most of the qualified postal history exhibitors and on the other hand to allow nearly everything to a few newcomers.

Therefore, the Postal History Commission tried to compromise and introduced a new experimental sub-class called "Historic, Social and Special Studies". We want to achieve with this sub-class that besides the established postal history exhibits (rates and routes) and the "Marcophily" (Postmarks), to have a home for collecting areas like the historical exhibits which usually cannot show nice postmarks nor special rates, such as soldiers' letters which either had a uniform rate or were completely free of postage. With the new sub-class these interesting exhibits can be shown in a more attractive way so that they will be easier to understand and hopefully shall get better awards.



*Kurt Kimmel (left) and Paulo Comelli (right) during judging at EFIRO 2008 in Bucharest.  
Photo by Roger Muller, Switzerland*

Furthermore, a new division of Postal History exhibits has been introduced. Instead of a geographical division it will be one by periods: 1. before 1875; 2. 1875-1945; and 3. after 1945. Each exhibit belongs to the period where it starts or where its main contents lies.

The FIP Congress also confirmed the election of the new management of the FIP Commission for Postal History for the period 2008-2012 which you find in this newsletter.

The new team intends to prepare Standard Postal History Seminars called "Streamline Seminars" which should be given by the delegates in their countries so that the jurors, collectors and exhibitors in Argentina will get the same advice and hear the same opinions as those in Australia and China.

Basically we shall try to maintain the popular collecting and exhibiting "Postal History" in such a way that it will neither degenerate to an unpleasant "cheque book competition" nor become a pure academic discipline. Our main aim should be to allow the collectors and exhibitors of Postal History to have more liberty and pleasure in creating their exhibits.

Best wishes,

Kurt Kimmel, Chairman

## **REPORT TO THE FIP CONGRESS BY THE OUTGOING CHAIRMAN**

### **LEO DE CLERCQ**

#### ***(Report of General Meeting held in Bucharest on 26 June 2008)***

The meeting of the commission was held in the Byblos Room commencing at 14.00 hours and was attended by 57 delegates and observers.

After administrative formalities seminars were presented by Dan Walker on 'Title Pages for a One Frame exhibit', Andrew Cheung on 'Disinfection of Mail from China' and Malcolm Groom on 'Judging under the revised SREV's and Guidelines'. The revised SREV's and Guidelines which incorporate the new sub-class Historic, social and special studies were unanimously accepted for presentation to the Congress. Elections of new members for the Postal History Bureau were conducted under the supervision of FIP Board Member Peter McCann.

Chairman: Kurt Kimmel was unopposed and confirmed as Chairman. Secretary: Jan J Danielski was elected as Secretary

Bureau Members:

Americas – Mrs Dila Eaton was elected as the Bureau Member for Americas.

Asia – Andrew Cheung was elected unopposed to the position of Bureau Member for Asia.

Europe – Per Friis Mortensen was elected to the position of Bureau Member for Europe.

Retiring Chairman Leo de Clerq was thanked for his long service and contribution to the work of the Postal History Commission by Dr Peter McCann which was supported by acclamation.

The outgoing Secretary Bert Goofers and Bureau Members Vit Vanicek and Nasry Bendeck were thanked for their service.

New Chairman Kurt Kimmel announced that he was recommending to the Congress the appointment of Dan Walker and Malcolm Groom to the Bureau.

27 June 2008

Leo De Clercq

## **THE COMMISSION BUREAU MEMBERS**

### **CHAIRMAN**



**Kurt Kimmel-Lampart**  
CP 376 CH-6908  
Massagno Switzerland  
[kurt.kimmel@arvest.ch](mailto:kurt.kimmel@arvest.ch)

### **SECRETARY**



**Dr. JJ Danielski**  
71 Gennela Square  
Toronto, Ontario  
Canada M1B 5M7  
[jjad@rogers.com](mailto:jjad@rogers.com)

### **MEMBERS**



**Dr. Andrew Cheung**  
GPO Box 446  
Hong Kong  
[amtc01@netvigator.com](mailto:amtc01@netvigator.com)



**Dila Eaton**  
P.O.Box 3500,  
PY-Asuncion  
Paraguay  
[idamay\\_artes@hotmail.com](mailto:idamay_artes@hotmail.com)



**Malcolm Groom**  
225 Warwick Street  
West Hobart  
Tasmania 7000  
Australia  
[mgroom1@bigpond.net.au](mailto:mgroom1@bigpond.net.au)



**Per-Friis Mortensen**  
Toftegaardvej 2,  
Hammerum DK-7400  
Herning  
Denmark  
[perfriismortensen@yahoo.com](mailto:perfriismortensen@yahoo.com)



**W. Danforth Walker**  
P.O. Box 99  
Lisbon, MD 21765  
USA  
[danforthwalker@comcast.net](mailto:danforthwalker@comcast.net)

### **FIP COORDINATOR**



**Paulo Comelli**  
Paraguay  
[pcomelli@yahoo.com](mailto:pcomelli@yahoo.com)  
[www.comelliphilatelist.com](http://www.comelliphilatelist.com)

**The links to our biographies may be found  
on our website [www.fippostalhistory.com](http://www.fippostalhistory.com),  
Commission Members' Page.**

# **SPECIAL REGULATIONS FOR THE EVALUATION OF POSTAL HISTORY EXHIBITS**

## **AT F.I.P. EXHIBITIONS**

### **Article 1: Competitive Exhibitions**

In accordance with Article 1.4 of the General Regulations of the F.I.P. for the Evaluation of Competitive Exhibits at F.I.P. Exhibitions (GREV), these Special Regulations have been developed to supplement those principles with regard to Postal History. Also refer to Guidelines for judging a Postal History Exhibit.

### **Article 2: Competitive Exhibits**

Postal History exhibits are classified under three sub-classes (ref GREV Article 2.3)

A. Postal History exhibits which contain material carried by, and related to, official, local or private mails. Such exhibits generally emphasize routes, rates, markings, usages and other postal aspects, services, functions and activities related to the history of the development of Postal Services.

B. Marcophily (Postmarks) exhibits showing classifications and/or studies of postal markings related to official, local or private mails on covers, adhesive stamps and other postal items.

C. Historical, social and special studies exhibits which examines postal history in the broader sense and the interaction of commerce and society with the postal system (refer examples in Article 3.2).

Besides these categories all Postal History exhibits are classified and judged in three time periods.

1. Up to 1875 (pre GPU)
2. From 1875 – 1945
3. After 1945.

Each exhibit fits in to the period where it starts or where its main contents lies.

### **Article 3: Principles of Exhibit Composition**

3.1 Postal History exhibits (sub-classes 2A & 2B) consists of used covers and letters, used postal stationery, used postage stamps, and other postal documents so arranged as to illustrate a balanced plan as a whole or to develop any aspect of postal history.

Examples of postal history (sub-classes 2A & 2B) subjects include:

1. Pre-adhesive postal services
2. The development of local, regional, national or international postal services
3. Postal rates
4. Routes for transportation of mails
5. Postal markings (Marcophily) - as described in Article 2B.
6. Military mail, field post, siege mail, POW, civil and military internee camp mail
7. Maritime mail and/or inland waterway mail
8. Railway mail
9. All kinds of Travelling Post Offices
10. Disaster mail
11. Disinfected mail
12. Censorship mail
13. Postage due mail
14. Automation of the mails
- 15 Forwarding agents markings
- 16 Official mail, Free Frank mail

A Postal History exhibit (sub-classes 2A & 2B) may contain maps, prints, decrees and similar associated materials. Such items must have direct relation to the chosen subject and to the postal services described in the exhibit (ref. GREV, Article 3.4).

3.2 Historical, Social and special studies (sub-class 2C) exhibits would include material developed by commerce and society for use in the postal system and may include non-philatelic material where relevant to the subject of the exhibit. The non-philatelic material should be incorporated into the exhibit in a balanced and appropriate manner in such a way that it not overwhelms the philatelic material.

Examples of Historical, social and special studies include:

1. Telegram services
2. Greeting cards (including Valentines)
3. Illustrated, Pictorial commercial envelopes used in a postal system.
4. Studies of the effect of the postal system on commerce, society and industry.
5. Historical, local and/or regional studies.
6. Studies related to an event or historical landmark

All exhibits under sub-class 2C must be capable of being exhibited in standard exhibition frames.

3.3 The plan or concept of all sub-classes of postal history exhibits shall be clearly explained in an introductory statement (ref. GREV, Article 3.3).

**Article 4: Criteria for Evaluating Exhibits (ref. GREV, Article 4)**

The importance of understanding a postal history exhibit can mean that more text is included or that non-postal history or non-philatelic material is included as supporting documentation. However, all text must be concise and clear and the inclusion of related non-postal history or non-philatelic material must improve the understanding of the postal history subject and the attractiveness of the exhibit.

**Article 5: Judging of Exhibits**

5.1 Postal History exhibits will be judged by the approved specialists in their respective fields and in accordance with Section V. (Article 31-47) of GREX (ref. GREV, Article 5.1).

5.2 For Postal History and Marcophily exhibits the following relative terms are presented to lead the jury to a balanced evaluation (ref. GREV, Article 5.2):

1. Treatment (20) and Philatelic Importance (10)	30
2. Philatelic and related Knowledge, Personal Study showing new results	35
3. Condition (10) and Rarity (20)	30
4. Presentation	<u>5</u>
Total	100

Historical, Social and special studies exhibits (sub-class 2C) will be evaluated using the following relative terms in order to lead the jury to a balanced evaluation (ref. GREV, Article 5.2)

1. Treatment (20) and Importance (Philatelic 5 & Historical & Social aspects 5)	30
2. Philatelic, Historical & Social Knowledge, Personal Study and Research	35
3. Condition (10) and Rarity (20)	30
4. Presentation	<u>5</u>
Total	100

All exhibits will be evaluated by allocating points for each of the above respective criteria. These will be entered on score sheets of an approved format.

**Article 6: Concluding Provisions**

6.1 In the event of any discrepancies in the text arising from translation, the English text shall prevail.

6.2 These Special Regulations for the Evaluation of Postal History Exhibits at F.I.P. Exhibitions have been approved by the 70<sup>th</sup> F.I.P. Congress in Bucharest on the 28th June 2008. **They come into force on 1<sup>st</sup> January 2009 and apply to those exhibitions granted F.I.P. Patronage, auspices or support, which will take place after 1st January 2009.**

# **GUIDELINES FOR JUDGING A POSTAL HISTORY EXHIBIT**

## **INTRODUCTORY REMARKS**

These revised Guidelines will come in force for exhibitions after 1st January 2009.

### **1. Introduction**

1.1 These Guidelines give practical advice on how to apply the GREV (1.1-1.4) and the SREV for Postal History exhibits approved by the 70th Congress at Bucharest, Romania.

1.2 The SREV for Postal History exhibits is the main framework for the general principles determining what each sub-class of Postal History exhibit should contain, what it should encompass and how it should be developed and presented. These Guidelines provide general guidance for the judging of such exhibits, and are also intended to guide collectors exhibiting in the Postal History class.

1.3 Where a dispute arises between the level of authority of the GREV, SREV for Postal History exhibits, and these Guidelines, the GREV has the highest order of authority, the SREV for Postal History exhibits the second order of authority and these Guidelines rate below all decisions made by the FIP Congress.

### **2. Scope of a Postal History Exhibit**

2.1 A Postal History exhibit, by analysis of the philatelic objects within it, should show and explain the development or operation of one or more postal services; the practical application of postal rules and regulations, and the study and classification of the use of philatelic material and/or postal markings to illustrate the main subject of the exhibit. It applies to exhibits covering the start of organized postal services to those of the present day. Historical, social and special studies exhibits show the interaction of the postal system with society, events, commerce or the historical geography of an area and the effect the postal system has on humanity and humanity on the postal system.

2.2 The SREV gives a list of possible subjects for a Postal History exhibit; these are, however, not a limitation on the permissible subjects. It is possible to show the development of mails between two or more areas, nations or continents; to show the development of postal services in one country, one district or one single geographical locality. Alternatively the development of one special postal service can be shown - either world-wide, in a country or groups of countries or more locally.

2.3 Exhibits may be planned chronologically, geographically (e.g. by local/ national districts), by mode of transport/service, or by any other way that the exhibitor may feel appropriate to employ.

2.4 Exhibitors should avoid large-scale duplication of similar items, large chronological gaps where possible and the inclusion of expensive items not directly relevant to the subject shown.

2.5 A general rule should be that a Postal History exhibit should show interesting material (Philatelic and where permitted non-philatelic) to the best advantage, and not appear to be a manuscript for a monograph.

### **3. Marcophily (Postmark) Exhibits**

3.1 A Marcophily (Postmark) exhibit is concerned with the classification and study of postal markings and obliterations, including manuscript markings, applied by official and private postal services.

3.2 Marcophily exhibits may range from the pre-stamp era to the present day.

3.3 The study may cover the function, the period of use, place of use, colour, state or other changes over the course of time, or other aspects of postal markings. The subjects can include marks of office or of services such as registration, maritime, traveling post offices, disinfection, instructional marks, and so on.

Examples of Marcophily exhibits include the study of repaired date-stamps and methods of showing distances used by postal administrations.

A study of the different types of automatic postal coding marks used would be a Marcophily exhibit; the introduction of automation by an Administration is, however, postal history.

3.4 A useful demonstration of knowledge and personal study of postal markings could include the earliest and latest recorded dates of use, or identification of place of use, where this is not apparent from the wording or particular types (e.g. identifying the place of use of mute or numeral cancellations).

3.5 Markings should be as clear as possible with all essential wording complete. Where Marcophily exhibits are based on obliterating marks, they should be complete and preferably on cover. Generally partial strikes should be avoided; so should unnecessary duplication other than early and late dates to demonstrate the period of use. Any attempt to improve the appearance of a postal marking, subsequent to its being applied by the postal authorities, shall be treated as being faked material. (See GREX Article 41.2)

3.6 Postage stamps displayed in a Marcophily exhibit are irrelevant except that they should be in reasonable condition. If used postage stamps are included in a Marcophily exhibit the evaluation will be based on the classification and study of the postal markings and obliterations on the stamps.

### **4. Introduction**

4.1 All Postal History exhibits must contain an Introductory Statement. showing the scope of the exhibit. The Title of the exhibit must correspond to the Introductory Statement.

#### 4.2 The Title Page should be used as follows:

To give relevant general (Postal History) information on the subject being developed in the exhibit.

To include a plan of how the structure of the exhibit is shown – chapters or sections etc., which have postal history relevance – rather than a “frame by frame” or “page by page” description.

To indicate areas of personal investigation.

To include details of important documentary sources and references.

4.3 The judges will evaluate the material shown, and the associated text, in the exhibit against the information included on the Title Page (Title, Introductory Statement, information relevant to the whole exhibit; the way the exhibit is structured; research and references)

A well thought out Title Page will assist both the exhibitor and judges.

### **5. Judging Criteria**

#### 5.1 Treatment and Philatelic Importance

5.1.1 A total of 30 points can be given for treatment and philatelic importance. Up to 10 points should be related to the relative philatelic importance and up to 20 points to the development, completeness and correctness of the material shown.

Under Sub-class 2C, 5 points are related to the historical and social importance of the exhibited subject.

5.1.2 When evaluating the treatment and importance of the exhibits, judges will look at the general development of the subject, the completeness of the material shown in relation to the scope of the exhibit and the relative philatelic, or historical significance of the subject shown, as well as the difficulty in duplicating the exhibit. Exhibitors should ensure that their exhibit is cohesive and avoid combining largely unrelated subjects; such exhibits are likely to lose marks under the treatment and importance criterion.

5.1.3 The importance of an exhibit will be gauged in relation to the general postal history of the country, area or subject shown, and to philately in general or importance to history, mankind or geographic area with respect to sub-class 2C. It will usually be easier to adequately treat and provide completeness to unimportant subjects than to important ones in the space available.

5.1.4 For example, the postal history of a capital city may generally be more important than that of a provincial town or a rural area. A postal rate study of postal agreements between two or more states would generally be more important than the domestic internal rates of an individual state over the same period. An exhibit (e.g. of rates) which spans the pre-adhesive and postage stamp eras, but omits reference to the first postage stamp issues, will inevitably be downgraded under importance and rarity. This is equally applicable to exhibits of all periods which omit the most difficult sections.

5.1.5 The judges should also assess whether the material exhibited is relevant to the scope of the exhibit. With rare exceptions, unused stamps and unused postal stationery are irrelevant, and their inclusion must be justified. Maps, proclamations etc., used only if relevant to the development and

documentation, should be restricted in number and the judges should in principle only evaluate the philatelic material shown (GREV 3.1-3.2). The relevance, balance and importance of non-philatelic material shown in historical, social and special studies exhibits will be evaluated by the judges.

## 5.2. Philatelic and Related Knowledge, Personal Study and Research

5.2.1 A total of 35 points can be given for philatelic and related knowledge, personal study and research.

5.2.2 Philatelic and related knowledge is demonstrated by the items chosen for display and their related comments. Personal study is demonstrated by the proper analysis of the items chosen for display. For exhibits where obviously a great deal of real research (presentation of new facts related to the chosen subject) has been done, a large proportion of the total points may be given for this research. In cases where a subject has been significantly researched previously, an exhibit showing new research and results should be rewarded especially. The study and right interpretation of the already available knowledge should be considered too under this criterion.

5.2.3 The proper evaluation of philatelic and related knowledge, personal study, and research will be based on the relevant description of each philatelic object shown. Judges and exhibitors should bear in mind that the information given should not overwhelm the philatelic material shown. A well thought-out plan (see 4. Introductory Statement above) may avoid otherwise lengthy descriptions later in the exhibit.

5.2.4 With regard to historical, social and special studies exhibits, the related historical and general non-philatelic knowledge will be considered in assessing all aspects of these criteria.

## 5.3 Condition and Rarity

5.3.1 A total of 30 points can be given for condition and rarity. Up to 20 points should be allocated to rarity and significance of the items shown and up to 10 points to the condition of the items shown.

5.3.2 Rarity is directly related to the philatelic items shown and to the relative scarcity of material of the type shown and in particular to the philatelic rarity (however, not the value), and the importance of the total exhibit and its subject. e.g. a postal marking of a small town showing the only example known, but of a standard type used throughout the country, may be of less significance than a special type only used at that town.

5.3.3 As condition may vary considerably for postal history material, judges should bear in mind the quality obtainable. On the whole, good condition, clean, legible postmarks and other postal markings as well as the general appearance of the objects, should be rewarded, while poor quality should be penalized. When possible, covers and other objects carrying postage stamps should show the stamps in good condition. e.g. an exhibit of wreck/air crash covers, while the condition of the covers will by definition be poor, the postal markings applied upon salvage should be as clear as possible.

## 5.4 Presentation

5.4.1 Presentation may be given up to 5 points. It should complement the treatment of the exhibit by its general lay-out and clarity. Judges should evaluate the work put into the presentation from the point of view of how it facilitates the understanding and attraction of the exhibit to judges and viewers alike.

5.4.2 Illustrations of relevant postal markings are necessary only when the originals are not clear enough to the onlooker. When it is desirable to illustrate significant markings on the reverse side of a cover, such markings can be either drawn or illustrated with a reproduction, such as a photograph or photocopy, as long as the reproduction is clearly seen as a reproduction to onlookers. Coloured photographs or reproductions should be at least 25% different in size from the original. Full size reproductions of single cancellations or part of a cover are permitted. All material whether non-postal history or non-philatelic material, should be original where possible.

## 6. Conclusion

6.1 These guidelines do not answer every question an exhibitor or a judge may raise. Each exhibit will have to be evaluated on its own merits.

6.2 In the event of any discrepancies in the text arising from translation, the English text shall prevail.

***Translations of these new SREV and GL into Chinese, German, French, Portuguese and Spanish will be available on our website***

## **F.I.P. POSTAL HISTORY COMMISSION DELEGATES (AS OF OCTOBER 15, 2008)**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Delegate &amp; Contact Address</b>	<b>Email Address</b>
<b>Albania</b>	<b><i>vacant</i></b>	
<b>Argentina</b>	<b>Dr. Andrés Jorge Schlichter</b> , J. Hernández 2045, 8°D C1426EOI, Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA	
<b>Armenia</b>	<b>Igor Mazur</b> , P.O. Box 50, AM-Yerevan 375010, ARMENIA	
<b>Australia</b>	<b>Malcolm Groom</b> , P.O. Box 3071, West Hobart 7000, AUSTRALIA	<a href="mailto:mgroom1@bigpond.net.au">mgroom1@bigpond.net.au</a>
<b>Austria</b>	<b>Helmut Seebald</b> , Dr. Schwabenweg 6, AT-6912 Horbranz, AUSTRIA	<a href="mailto:filvbg.45@gmx.at">filvbg.45@gmx.at</a>

<b>Bangladesh</b>	<b>Mohammed Monirul Islam</b> , 2 / 2 A S.K. Mannan Road, South Datta Papa, Islampur BANGLADESH	<a href="mailto:moniruma@optusnet.com.au">moniruma@optusnet.com.au</a>
<b>Belarus</b>	<b>Valery Bondarik</b> , Prospect Macherova 50-9, 220123 Minsk, BELARUS	<a href="mailto:v.bondarik@mail.ru">v.bondarik@mail.ru</a>
<b>Belgium</b>	<b>Leo De Clercq</b> , Zamanstraat 2, B-9100 Sint-Niklaas, BELGIUM	<a href="mailto:leo.declercq@worldonline.be">leo.declercq@worldonline.be</a>
<b>Bolivia</b>	<b>Curt Goldschmidt</b> , Casilla de Correos N°11061, BO - La Paz, BOLIVIA	
<b>Brazil</b>	<b>Klerman Wanderley Lopez</b> , Rua Const.Ramos 34/901, 22051-010 Rio de Janeiro, BRAZIL	<a href="mailto:klerman@uol.com.br">klerman@uol.com.br</a>
<b>Bulgaria</b>	<b>Panaiot Kourdalanov</b> , Union der Philatelisten Bulgariens, P.O.Box 662, BG-1000 Sofia, BULGARIA	<a href="mailto:sbfbul@yahoo.com">sbfbul@yahoo.com</a>
<b>Canada</b>	<b>Dr. Jan J. Danielski</b> , 71 Gennela Square, Toronto, ON, CANADA, M1B 5M7	<a href="mailto:jjad@rogers.com">jjad@rogers.com</a>
<b>China</b>	<b>Liu Zhong Lin</b> , All China Philatelic Federation, 28 Dong An Men Street, CN – Beijing, CHINA	<a href="mailto:acpf@vip.sohu.com">acpf@vip.sohu.com</a>
<b>Colombia</b>	<b>Alfredo Frohlich</b> , 2800 Island Boulevard PH5, Williams Island, FLORIDA 33160, USA	<a href="mailto:af@crystalusa.com">af@crystalusa.com</a>
<b>Congo</b>	<b>No appointed delegate</b>	
<b>Costa Rica</b>	<b>Alvaro Castro</b> , P.O. Box 3441, CR-1000 San Jose, COSTA RICA	
<b>Croatia</b>	<b>No appointed delegate</b>	
<b>Cuba</b>	<b>Alejandro Ruis Piedra</b> , P.O. Bos 2222, CU - 10200 Havana, CUBA	
<b>Cyprus</b>	<b>Stelios Theophilou</b> , Koronias Str. 2, CY - 4042 Limasol, CYPRUS	<a href="mailto:theophilo@cytanet.com.cy">theophilo@cytanet.com.cy</a>
<b>Czech Republic</b>	<b>Dipl. Ing. Vít Vaníček FRPSL</b> , Skuhrovecká 133, CZ - 251 64 Mnichovice, CZECH REPUBLIC	<a href="mailto:vit.vanicek@volny.cz">vit.vanicek@volny.cz</a>
<b>Denmark</b>	<b>Per Friis Mortensen</b> , Toftegaardvej 2 Hammerum, DK - 7400 Herning, DENMARK	<a href="mailto:perfriismortensen@yahoo.com">perfriismortensen@yahoo.com</a>
<b>Egypt</b>	<b>Samir A. Fikry</b> , 50 El Gomhoria St, ET – Cairo, EGYPT	
<b>Estonia</b>	<b>No appointed delegate</b>	
<b>Finland</b>	<b>Pekka Taitto</b> , Eerontie 5, FI-15880 Hollola, FINLAND	
<b>France</b>	<b>Prof. Yves-Maxime Danan</b> , 40 Av.du Prés. Roosevelt 92330 Sceaux, FRANCE	
<b>Georgia</b>	<b>No appointed delegate</b>	
<b>Germany</b>	<b>Herbert Schlegel</b> , Riensberger Str. 30 A, D-28213 Bremen, GERMANY	
<b>Greece</b>	<b>Alexandros Galinos</b> , P.O.Box 3138, GR-102 10 Athens, GREECE	<a href="mailto:collekti@otenet.gr">collekti@otenet.gr</a>

<b>Honduras</b>	<b>Nasry Bendeck</b> , P.O.Box 30280, Tegucigalpa,HONDURAS	<a href="mailto:nbendeck@123.hn">nbendeck@123.hn</a> ; (London 2010) <a href="mailto:romeo@david.intertel.hn">romeo@david.intertel.hn</a>
<b>Hong Kong</b>	<b>Dr. Andrew Cheung</b> , GPO, Box 446, HONG KONG	<a href="mailto:amtc01@netvigator.com">amtc01@netvigator.com</a>
<b>Hungary</b>	<b>Denes Czirok</b> , Pacsirta u. 9/1, H-8900 Zalaegerszeg HUNGARY	<a href="mailto:czirok.d@t-online.hu">czirok.d@t-online.hu</a>
<b>Iceland</b>	<b>Olafur Eliasson</b> , Háaleitisbraut 52, IS-108 Reykjavik, ICELAND	
<b>India</b>	<b>Yogesh Kumar</b> , Vishnu Bhavan Prem Nagar, 370 Macnair Road, IN-Bareilly 243005, INDIA	
<b>Indonesia</b>	<b>Agus Wibawanto</b> , Jl.Manyar Jaya VIII /Blok B15, Surabaya 60118, INDONESIA	
<b>Iran</b>	<b>Membership suspended</b>	
<b>Ireland</b>	<b>Francis McDonald</b> , 63 Rafter's Road, IE – Dublin, IRELAND	
<b>Israel</b>	<b>Chanan Schiffan</b> , 2 Noga Str., IL-Hod-Hasharon 45265, ISRAEL	
<b>Italy</b>	<b>Ing. Dr. Mario Mentaschi</b> . Via Roma 16, I-36100 Vicenza, ITALY	<a href="mailto:mmentaschi@tin.it">mmentaschi@tin.it</a>
<b>Japan</b>	<b>Mamoru Sawa</b> ,2-3-20, Sarue Koutou-ku, Tokyo 135, JAPAN	
<b>Korea</b>	<b>Yoon-Hong Kahn</b> , Central PO Box 666, Seoul, KOREA	
<b>Latvia</b>	<b>Peteris Zarins</b> , The Latvian Philatelic Society, Brivibas str. 234, LV -1039 Riga, LATVIA	
<b>Libya</b>	<b>Mohamed Ali Siala</b> , Libyan Philatelic Society, P.O. Box 2411, Tripoli, LIBYA	
<b>Liechtenstein</b>	<b>Peter Marxer</b> , Postfach 431, FL-9490 Vaduz, LIECHTENSTEIN	<a href="mailto:wn.marxer@supra.net">wn.marxer@supra.net</a>
<b>Lithuania</b>	<b>Prof. Dr. Eugenijus Ušpuras</b> , Lithuanian Energy Institute, Brelaujos gatve 3, LT-44403 Kaunas, LITHUANIA	<a href="mailto:uspuras@mail.lei.lt">uspuras@mail.lei.lt</a>
<b>Luxemburg</b>	<b>Armand Meili</b> , 32, rue Jos. Muller, LU-3651 Kayl, LUXEMBURG	
<b>Malaysia</b>	<b>Gong Ngie Hee</b> , Dr. 2 Lorung Setiajasa 1 Medan Damansara, 50490 Kuala Lumpur, MALAYSIA	
<b>Malta</b>	<b>Anthony Fenech "Miele"</b> , Old Railway Road, MT - Balzan BZN07, MALTA	
<b>Mexico</b>	<b>Ing. Rosenthal Salomon</b> , Bosque de Tejocotes n° 61-701 Col. Bosques de las Lomas 05120 Mexico DF, MEXICO	<a href="mailto:sarocenci@aol.com">sarocenci@aol.com</a>
<b>Mongolia</b>	<b>No appointed delegate</b>	

<b>Montenegro</b>	<b>Capt. Tomo Katuric</b> , Kamenari 1, 85343 Bijela, MONTENEGRO	<a href="mailto:tomokaturic@cg.yu">tomokaturic@cg.yu</a>
<b>Nepal</b>	<b>Chandra Kumar</b> , Sthapit Ga 1-269 Phykha Tole, Kathmandu, NEPAL	
<b>Netherlands</b>	<b>Bert Goofers</b> , Ovidiuslaan 13, 5926 Sk Venlo, NETHERLANDS	<a href="mailto:secphcie@xs4all.nl">secphcie@xs4all.nl</a>
<b>New Zealand</b>	<b>Gerald J. Elliott</b> , P.O. Box 313, Waiheke Island, NZ – Auckland, NEW ZEALAND	<a href="mailto:elliott@xtra.co.nz">elliott@xtra.co.nz</a>
<b>Nigeria</b>	<b>No appointed delegate</b>	
<b>Norway</b>	<b>Hallvard Slettebø</b> , Theodor Dahls gate 23, NO - 4024 Stavanger, NORWAY	<a href="mailto:hallvard@slettebo.no">hallvard@slettebo.no</a>
<b>Pakistan</b>	<b>Iqbal A. Nanjee</b> , 301-303 Commerce Centre, Hasrat Mohani Road, Karachi, PAKISTAN	<a href="mailto:nanjee@paknet3.ptc.pk">nanjee@paknet3.ptc.pk</a>
<b>Paraguay</b>	<b>Dila Eaton</b> Casilla de Correos 3100, Asuncion, PARAGWAY	<a href="mailto:dilaeaton@gmail.com">dilaeaton@gmail.com</a>
<b>Peru</b>	<b>Aldo Salvattec</b> , Av. Republica de Panama 6216, Lima 18, PERU	<a href="mailto:aldosalva@terra.com.pe">aldosalva@terra.com.pe</a>
<b>Philippines</b>	<b>Mario Que</b> , P.O. Box 1322, Manilla, PHILIPPINES	
<b>Poland</b>	<b>Przemyslaw Drzewiecki</b> , ul. Oplawiec 225, 85-469 Bydgoszcz, POLAND	<a href="mailto:pdrzew@onet.pl">pdrzew@onet.pl</a>
<b>Portugal</b>	<b>Rui Manuel Pires Mendes</b> , Vilas da Cartuxa, Av. S. Bento, 31 700-737 Evora, PORTUGAL	<a href="mailto:epico69@hotmail.com">epico69@hotmail.com</a>
<b>Qatar</b>	<b>Hussain Rajab Al-Ismail</b> , P.O.Box 10933, Doha QATAR	<a href="mailto:gstamps@qatar.net.qa">gstamps@qatar.net.qa</a>
<b>Romania</b>	<b>Dr. Leonard Pascanu</b> , Aleea Politehnicii nr 6 Bl.3, Sc. 2, Ap. 20, Sect. 6, Bucarest, ROMANIA	<a href="mailto:julietapascanu@yahoo.com">julietapascanu@yahoo.com</a> (London)
<b>Russia</b>	<b>Victor Sinogubov</b> , Union of Philatelists of Russia, Twerskaja 12 SU - 103831, GSP-3, MOSCOW RUSSIA	
<b>San Marino</b>	<b>No appointed delegate</b>	
<b>Saudi Arabia</b>	<b>Tariq Ali Reza</b> Saoudi Arabian Philatelic Society, P.O.Box 9852, Jeddah 21423, SAUDI ARABIA	
<b>Serbia</b>	<b>Djordje Radicevic</b> , 11000 Beograd, SERBIA	<a href="mailto:jovanvel@yahoo.com">jovanvel@yahoo.com</a>
<b>Singapore</b>	<b>Lim Sa Bee</b> Blk642, Bedok Reservoir Rd #06-73, SINGAPORE 410642	
<b>Slovakia</b>	<b>Miroslav Bachraty</b> , Bazovskeho 18, SK-841 02 Bratislava, SLOVAKIA	<a href="mailto:tecons@gmail.t-com.sk">tecons@gmail.t-com.sk</a>
<b>Slovenia</b>	<b>Bojan Bracic</b> , Stantetova 6, 2000 Maribor, SLOVENIA	<a href="mailto:stasa.bracic@triera.net">stasa.bracic@triera.net</a>

<b>South Africa</b>	<b>Emil Minnaar</b> , PO Box 413981, Craighall 2024, SOUTH AFRICA	<a href="mailto:email@minnaar.org">email@minnaar.org</a>
<b>Spain</b>	<b>Jesús Sitjá Prats</b> , Angli 29 A-5*-2*, E-08017 Barcelona, SPAIN	<a href="mailto:isitja100@yahoo.com">isitja100@yahoo.com</a>
<b>Sweden</b>	<b>Ake Rietz</b> , Riddargatan 45, S-114 57 Stockholm, SWEDEN	<a href="mailto:akerietz@hotmail.com">akerietz@hotmail.com</a>
<b>Switzerland</b>	<b>Kurt Kimmel-Lampart RDP</b> , CP 376, 6908 Massagno, SWITZERLAND	<a href="mailto:Kurt.Kimmel@arvest.ch">Kurt.Kimmel@arvest.ch</a>
<b>Taiwan R.O.C.</b>	<b>Michael M. Y. Ho</b> , P.O. Box 5-74, Kaoshiung, TAIWAN R.O.C.	
<b>Thailand</b>	<b>Choon Koshpasharin</b> , c/o PAT, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor, Samsaen Nai Post Office, THAILAND	<a href="mailto:pat2546@yahoo.com">pat2546@yahoo.com</a>
<b>Turkey</b>	<b>Cengiz Arsmen</b> , Kemeralti Cad. n° 69 Kat 5 Balkan Han, Karakoy / Istanbul, TURKEY	
<b>Ukraine</b>	<b>Vsevolod Furman</b> , Boshaiia Arnautskaia str. 90 ap. 4A, Odessa, UKRAINE	<a href="mailto:bango@ukr.net">bango@ukr.net</a>
<b>United Arab Emirates</b>	<b>Khalid Ali Al-Omaira</b> , Emirates Philatelic Association, P.O. Box 33333, Dubai, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	
<b>United Kingdom</b>	<b>John SUSSEX</b> , 8 Jankins Close, Pocklington, York UNITED KINGDOM, YO42 2PA	<a href="mailto:johndc.sussex@btinternet.com">johndc.sussex@btinternet.com</a>
<b>United States of America</b>	<b>W. Danforth Walker</b> , PO Box 99, Lisbon, MD 21765, U.S.A.	<a href="mailto:danforthwalker@comcast.net">danforthwalker@comcast.net</a>
<b>Uruguay</b>	<b>Cesar Jones</b> , Avenida Uruguay 1333/101 11; 100 Montevideo, URUGUAY	<a href="mailto:cesario@hotmail.com">cesario@hotmail.com</a>
<b>Venezuela</b>	<b>Humberto Bermudez</b> , Club filatelico de Caracas, Apartado Postal 52197, Caracas 1050 A, VENEZUELA	
<b>Vietnam</b>	<b>No appointed delegate</b>	

**COMMISSION for POSTAL HISTORY NEWSLETTER has been published by  
the Commission for Postal History of the Fédération Internationale de Philatélie**

**Concept and content: Kurt Kimmel-Lampart**

**Compilation: JJ Danielski**